

In the Court of Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities
25- D, Mata Sundari Road, Near Guru Nanak Eye Centre, New Delhi-2
Phone-23216002-4 Telefax: 23216005
Email : comdis.delhi@nic.in

[Vested with power of Civil Court under the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunity, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995]

Case No. 4/616/2014-Wel./CD/ **935-37**

Dated: **21.7.15**

In the matter of :

Ms.Pooja Goyal
C-367, Phase-I Inder Enclave
Kirari Suleman Nagar
Delhi-110086

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

Ms.Padmini Singla
Director
Directorate of Education
Old Secretariat, Delhi

Sh.A.K.Kaushal
Controller of Examinations
Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board
FC-18, Institutional Area,
Karkardooma, Delhi-110092

.....**Respondents**

ORDER

1. Ms.Pooja Goyal a visually impaired person filed a representation stating that even as there were vacant posts of Assistant Teacher (Primary) under post code 101/12 and 71/09 she was not recommended for appointment on the ground that the vacancies available pertained to a different code and since she had not applied under the relevant code she could not be recommended for appointment. The Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities wrote demi officially to the Chairman of the Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board requesting that all the 35 posts of Assistant Primary Teacher notified under post code 71/09 and 101/12 should be filled up by qualified disabled persons who attended the examination on 25.8.13 as per the instructions of Government of India.
2. A reply was received from the Board informing that the petitioner namely Ms.Pooja Goyal with Roll No.10118328 can only be considered under the post code under which she had applied i.e the post code 101/12 and since she had not applied for the post code no. 71/09

she cannot be considered under this post code and that the two post codes have different set of Recruitment Rules and cut off dates and the vacancies pertain to different recruitment years. The Board therefore was asked to provide details of the post codes 71/09 and 101/12 namely the copies of recruitment rules, user department, cut off dates etc.

3. It was informed by the Deputy Secretary Confidential Cell of the Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board that the petitioner had applied only for the post code 101/12 and therefore she cannot be considered for old post code no.71/09. The two post codes have different Recruitment Rules and cut off dates. For post code no.71/09 there is no requirement of CTET whereas for post code no.101/12 CTET is compulsory and cut off dates for both post codes are also different. It was clarified by the Deputy Secretary of the Board that the petitioner can only be considered in the post code under which she had applied i.e post code no.101/12.
4. The petitioner has now informed that she has been recommended for appointment by Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board under post code 101/12 as Assistant Teacher (Primary) and that she is fully satisfied with the action taken by the Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board.
5. In view of the recommendation made by the Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board in favour of petitioner for appointment as Assistant Primary Teacher there is no need to pursue the matter further which is accordingly disposed of.



Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 21st day of July, 2015.

K.S. Mehra
21/7/15

(K.S. Mehra)
Commissioner
Court of Commissioner (Disabilities)
National Capital Territory of Delhi
Room No. - 1
25-D, Mata Sundari road, New Delhi-02