In the Court of Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities
25- D, Mata Sundari Road, Near Guru Nanak Eye Centre, New Delhi-2
Phone-23216002-4 Telefax: 23216005
Website: www.discomm.delhi.gov.in : Email : comdis.delhi@nic.in
[Vested with power of Civil Court under the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995]

Case No. 4/1041/2015-Wel./CD/1900-01 Dated: 19.10.15

In the matter of :

Sh.Rakesh Sahni
Ground Floor
K-45, Naveen Shahadra
Delhi ..... **Petitioner**

Versus

Sh.Veenu Bansal
Deputy Commissioner of Police
North East District
GTB Enclave, Damodar Park
G.T.Road, Delhi-110095 .....**Respondent**

ORDER

1. Sh.Rakesh Sahni a disabled person filed a petition stating that some unknown people are disturbing him at his residence and that he needs protection from the police. He has narrated a long story of his sufferings from his own kith and kin including his wife and children.
2. After receipt of the representation the Deputy Commissioner of Police of the North East District Delhi Police was requested to take necessary action and file a report. A report was filed by the Additional Deputy Commissioner of the Police stating that **Quote** "The complainant alleged that being a disable person he has been harassed physically and mentally and thrown out from godown by his brother Naresh Sahni with the influence of one Neeru Thakran who is in practice of abusing him as he raised voice against behaviour of his brother and her. He further alleged that the said Neeru Thakran has malafide intension to kill him through several kinds of harassment. During the course of enquiry through ACP PG Cell /NE the complainant stated that he resides at K-45 Naveen Shahdara Delhi-32 without paying any rent for this as this is just a godown of his brother Naresh Sahani. He also stated that on 22.7.15 at about 9.00 PM he had some altercation

with his brother and due to this he had been sent out from the above mentioned godown but again he had returned back in the morning. He further stated that he has no complaint against his brother also has no problem from local police and now he wants to reside separately in a rented house. He further wants no action on his complaint." **Unquote.**

3. A copy of the status report was given to the petitioner who was however not satisfied with the report. Another report was submitted by police authorities of the concerned area stating **Quote** "It is submitted that a complaint from Rakesh Sahni S/o Inder Raj Sahni R/o K-45 Naveen Shahdara Delhi was received at PS wherein he alleges that he was beaten abused and threaten by one Krishna friend of his maid Kaushal as she made a demand of lady suit to the complainant and he refused to fulfil the same. Petitioner is 90 percent disabled person. Enquiry into the said matter is in progress and we are verifying the facts of the complaints. It is therefore requested that some time may kindly granted to conclude the enquiry. Moreover petitioner is habitual complainant and he keeps on making false and baseless complaints. He even filed a complaint against his brother in DLSA wherein all the allegations levelled by him were baseless and untrue." **Unquote.**

4. Since the petitioner was not satisfied with theses status reports the Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police filed a status report on 18.9.15 stating that **Quote** "With reference to case No.4/1041/2015-Wel./CD/921 dated 22.7.15 the gist of allegations and enquiry report is given : The complainant alleged that being a disable person he has been harassed physically and mentally and thrown out from godown by his brother Naresh Sahni with the influence of one Neeru Thakran. Neeru Thakran is in practice of abusing him as he raised voice against her and his brother's behaviour. Neeru Thakran has malafide intension to kill him through several kinds of harassment. He further requested for police protection during night only. During the course of enquiry conducted by ACP Shahdara/NE it was revealed that the complainant was a married person having three sons (Ishank, Nikhil and Prince). Smt.Gargi Sahni wife of the complainant is residing at H.No.1190, GH-5& & , Paschim Vihar Delhi along with her sons. The complainant is addicted to alcohol and most of the time he remains out of the house in drunken state. He is not even worried about the future of his sons and day-to-day expenses of his family. Naresh Sahni is the brother of the complainant who resides at K-45 Naveen Shahdara Delhi. He provided accommodation to the complainant at one of his residence in Gali No.7, Rohtash Nagar Delhi. Arrangement for one maid was also made to look after the complainant but after some time a sudden change in the behaviour of the complainant towards the maid Kaushal was observed by Ishank and Naresh Sahani. Therefore maid

Kaushal was asked to leave the work. Due to this reason complainant started making false and baseless complaints against his son and brother. Neeru Thakran one lady mentioned by the complainant in his complaint is the employee in the factory of Naresh Sahni since 12 years as a computer operator and she does not have any role in the dispute prevailing in his family. During enquiry statements of the concerned person were recorded and the brief of the statements is as under: Statement of the alleged Naresh Sahni (Brother of the complainant) was recorded wherein he stated that Rakesh Sahni is an alcoholic person and he is not at worries about his family. He does not take any step to fulfil day to day requirement of his family members. All the necessary arrangement for living of family of Rakesh Sahni is made by Ishank (complainant's son) who works with him. He also stated that Rakesh is habitual to quarrel just with the demand of money only and to file unnecessary complaint against him. Neeru Thakran is working as computer operator from last 12 years in his factory and she has no concern with his family matters. Ishank Sahni (complainant's son) also corroborated the same as stated above by Naresh Sahni. Conclusion : The complainant has no threat perception to his life. Neeru Thakran also has no role in the said matter as she is just an employee in his brother's factory. The allegations levelled by the complainant could not be substantiated. " **Unquote.**

5. I have gone through the representations and the reports filed by the police authorities of the concerned area and I am of the view that the petitioner needs treatment as apparently no one is disturbing him as his own son who attended the hearing stated that the petitioner (Rakesh Sahni) has been making false allegations against Naresh Sahni and Neeru Thakran. The representation filed by the petitioner is accordingly disposed of.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 19th day of October, 2015.

Court of Commissioner (Disabilities) National Capital Territory of Delhi सत्यमेव जयते

19/X/15

(K.S. Mehra)
Commissioner
Court of Commissioner (Disabilities)
National Capital Territory of Delhi
Room No. - 1
25-D, Mata Sundari road, New Delhi-02